



Robert Greene Sterne  
Jorge A. Goldstein  
David K.S. Cornwell  
Robert W. Esmond  
Tracy-Gene G. Durkin  
Michele A. Cimbala  
Michael B. Ray  
Robert E. Sokohl  
Eric K. Steffe  
Michael Q. Lee  
John M. Covert  
Robert C. Millonig  
Donald J. Featherstone  
Timothy J. Shea, Jr.  
Michael V. Messinger  
Judith U. Kim  
Jeffrey T. Helvey  
Eldora L. Ellison

Donald R. Banowitz  
Peter A. Jackman  
Brian J. Del Buono  
Mark Fox Evans  
Vincent L. Capuano  
Elizabeth J. Haanes  
Michael D. Specht  
Kevin W. McCabe  
Glenn J. Perry  
Edward W. Yee  
Grant E. Reed  
Virgil Lee Beason  
Theodore A. Wood  
Joseph S. Ostroff  
Jason D. Eisenberg  
Tracy L. Muller  
Jon E. Wright  
LuAnne M. DeSantis

Ann E. Summerfield  
Helene C. Carlson  
Cynthia M. Bouchez  
Timothy A. Doyle  
Gabry L. Longworth  
Lori A. Gordon  
Laura A. Vogel  
Bashir M.S. Ali  
Shannon A. Carroll  
Anbar F. Khal  
Michelle K. Holoubek  
Marsha A. Rose  
Scott A. Schaller  
Lei Zhou  
W. Blake Coblenz  
James J. Pohl  
John T. Haran  
Mark W. Rygiel

Michael R. Malek\*  
Carla Ji-Eun Kim  
Doyle A. Siever\*  
Ulrike Winkler Jenks  
Paul A. Calvo  
Robert A. Schwartzman  
C. Matthew Rozier\*  
Shameek Ghose  
Randall K. Baldwin  
Daniel J. Nevriy  
  
Registered Patent Agents\*  
Karen R. Markowicz  
Matthew J. Dowd  
Mita Mukherjee  
Scott M. Woodhouse  
Peter A. Socarras  
Jeffrey K. Mills

Danielle L. Letting  
Lori Brandes  
Steven C. Oppenheimer  
Aaron S. Lukas  
Gaurav Asthana  
Robert E. Bakin  
Salvador M. Bezos  
  
Of Counsel  
Edward J. Kessler  
Kenneth C. Bass III  
Marvin C. Guthrie  
Christopher P. Wrist

\*Admitted only in Maryland  
\*Admitted only in Virginia  
\*Practice Limited to  
Federal Agencies

February 19, 2008

Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

WRITER'S DIRECT NUMBER:  
(202) 772-8856  
INTERNET ADDRESS:  
KMCCABE@SKGF.COM

Art Unit 1644  
Attn: Mail Stop Amendment

Re: U.S. Utility Patent Application  
Appl. No. 10/765,097; Filed: January 28, 2004  
For: **Means for Detection and Purification of CD8+T Lymphocyte  
Populations Specific to Peptides Presented in the Context of HLA**  
Inventors: LANG *et al.*  
Our Ref: 2512.0240002/KWM/TCS

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for appropriate action are the following documents:

1. Reply to Restriction Requirement; and
2. One (1) return postcard.


It is respectfully requested that the attached postcard be stamped with the date of filing of these documents, and that it be returned to our courier.

In the event that extensions of time are necessary to prevent abandonment of this patent application, then such extensions of time are hereby petitioned.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 19-0036.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

  
Kevin W. McCabe  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 41,182

KWM/TCS/lam  
Enclosures

782378\_1.DOC



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Lang *et al.*

Appl. No. 10/765,097

Filed: January 28, 2004

For: **Means for Detection and  
Purification of CD8+T  
Lymphocyte Populations Specific  
to Peptides Presented in the  
Context of HLA.**

Confirmation No.: 9827

Art Unit: 1644

Examiner: Dibrino, Marianne

Atty. Docket: 2512.0240002/KWM/TCS

**Reply to Restriction Requirement**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the Office Action dated **January 17, 2008**, Applicants submit the following Reply to the Election of Species.

Applicants hereby provisionally elect to prosecute the species of the disclosed invention comprising:

- a. a multimer further comprising a fluorescent molecule;
- c. wherein the mutation in the  $\alpha 3$  domain is a specific mutation in a specific HLA class I molecule, such as for example, A245V in HLA-A2; and
- d. wherein the multimer is not loaded with antigenic peptide.

This species is represented by claims 14, 16, 20 and 28-31. This election is made without prejudice to or disclaimer of the other claims or inventions disclosed.

Regarding Detail 4.b. at Page 2 of the Examiner's Election of Species dated October 1, 2007, Applicants appreciate that they must elect a species specified in Detail 4.b. However, before making that election, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner consider Applicants arguments as to why this particular restriction is improper and accordingly reconsider the restriction of this species.

This election is made **with traverse**.